MINNESOTA

Park Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual park operating base for all park units within this state. Park operational base funds are supplemented by as yet undetermined amounts of project funding from regional or servicewide-managed programs, such as cyclic maintenance, the Natural Resources Preservation Program, and the Drug Enforcement Program.

If a park unit is in more than one state, then the park unit is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operating base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the park operating base amount between two or more states.

	(dollars in thousands)				
			FY 2006	FY 2006	
Congress'l	FY 2004	FY 2005	Uncontrol	Program	FY 2006
District Park Units	Enacted	Estimate	Changes	Changes	Request
08 Grand Portage NM	967	992	19	0	1,011
04 Mississippi NR & RA	1,573	1,621	34	0	1,655
FY 2005 Visitor Service Increase 1	0	209	0	0	209
Total Mississippi NR & RA	[1,573]	[1,830]	[34]	[0]	[1,864]
02 Pipestone NM	677	694	18	0	712
FY 2005 Visitor Service Increase 1	0	99	0	0	99
Total Pipestone NM	[677]	[793]	[18]	[0]	[811]
08 Saint Croix NSR & Lower Saint Croix NSR	3,251	3,334	75	0	3,409
08 Voyageurs NP	3,174	3,248	89	0	3,337

All FY 2006 increases consist of uncontrollable funding related to pay and benefits. Fleet and management efficiency savings have yet to be distributed at the park level.

This table does not include funding for Trails and Other Affiliated Areas that are not park units, nor programs from other appropriations such as General Management Plans, Land Acquisition, Line Item Construction, Federal Lands Highway Program, and Historic Preservation Fund State Grants. Information on the distribution of funds to these entities and programs is outlined on the following pages. There are separate sections on General Management Plans and the Trails Management Program.

¹ These funds are part of a total \$12.478 million distributed to 67 parks, 10 trails, 3 affiliated areas, and servicewide trail GIS support that was provided in FY 2005 to bolster visitor services. These funds are not considered a permanent addition to any of the parks' operational base funding. The continuation of these funds beyond FY 2005 is contingent upon a review of park base operations at all parks prior to distribution of the enacted FY 2006 appropriation. Should this examination determine that the funds could be more efficiently utilized to provide services at other parks, the funds will be moved (subject to reprogramming guidelines).

MINNESOTA

FY 2006 Programmatic Park Base Increases

NONE

MINNESOTA

Trails and Other Affiliated Areas Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual operating base for all Trails and Other Field Offices and Affiliated Areas that are not park units, within this state.

If a trail or affiliated area is in more than one state, it is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operational base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the operational base between two or more states.

		(dollars in thousands)				
			FY 2006	FY 2006		
	FY 2004	FY 2005	Uncontrol	Program	FY 2006	
Trails and Affiliated Areas	Enacted	Estimate	Changes	Changes	Request	
North Country NST	596	611	0	0	611	
FY 2005 Visitor Services Increase ¹	0	30	0	0	30	
Total North Country NST	[596]	[641]	[0]	[0]	[641]	

FY 2006 fleet and management efficiency savings have yet to be distributed at the entity level.

This table does not include funding for programs from other appropriations such as General Management Plans, Land Acquisition, Line Item Construction, Federal Lands Highway Program, and Historic Preservation Fund State Grants. Information on the distribution of funds in these programs is outlined on the following pages. There are separate sections on General Management Plans and the Trails Management Program.

¹These funds are part of a total \$12.478 million distributed to 67 parks, 10 trails, 3 affiliated areas, and servicewide trail GIS support that was provided in FY 2005 to bolster visitor services. These funds are not considered a permanent addition to any of the parks' operational base funding. The continuation of these funds beyond FY 2005 is contingent upon a review of park base operations at all parks prior to distribution of the enacted FY 2006 appropriation. Should this examination determine that the funds could be more efficiently utilized to provide services at other parks, the funds will be moved (subject to reprogramming quidelines).

MINNESOTA (MWR) FY 2006 Proposed Program

(dollars in thousands)

PROGRAMS AND PROJECTS FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE OPERATING ACCOUNT:

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)

Park AreaType of ProjectPipestone NMOngoing Project

SPECIAL STUDIES (See GMP section for further information)

None

LAND ACQUISITION

None

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION (see attached)

Park AreaProject TitleFundsGrand Portage NMEstablish Grand Portage Heritage Center\$4,000

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS

State apportionment: \$690

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS

None

National Park Service PROJECT DATA SHEET

Project Score/Ranking:	630
Planned Funding FY:	2006
Funding Source: Line Item Construct	ion

Total Project Score: 630

Project Identification

Project T	itle: Establish Grand F	ortage Heritage Center	
Project No	o: 008143	Unit/Facility Name: Grand Portage National Monument	
Region:	Congressional Distr	ct: 08	State: MN
Midwest			

Project Justification

FCI-Before: NA FCI-Projected: 0.00 API: New Project Description: This project will construct a 10,870-square-foot Heritage Center to orient and educate visitors to the monument in response to PL 85 110. The center will include a welcome and information desk, an auditorium, a sales area, restrooms, space for Ojibwa craft demonstrations, museum exhibit and storage areas for some of the 120,000 objects in the monument collection, a research library, and office space for monument staff. The facility will ddress the full range of interpretive themes (western exploration, ancient Native American trade network, pioneering commerce, fur trade, cross-cultural contact and accommodation, international boundary disputes/treaty), especially those that are not presently supported by present facilities such as continental exploration, cross-cultural accommodation, and Ojibwa heritage. The project will also include utility connections, safe pedestrian access to the monument's ources/reconstructions, vehicular access and parking, and landscaping.

Project Need/Benefit: Established over 47 years ago, Grand Portage National Monument has never had a visitor center or an effective visitor orientation rea. Without orientation to the site, few visitors are able to grasp the significance of Grand Portage. The recent General Management Plan (GMP) identified ne heritage center as the monument's greatest need to help visitors better understand and appreciate the site, its importance in American and international istory, and its resources. With the heritage center, the monument staff can better protect extensive museum collections and use them to illustrate the fur trad and Ojibwa heritage and can tell the breadth of the monument's story much more effectively. Construction of the center will also allow the reconstructed Grea Hall (presently the de facto visitor center) to be used for its intended purpose --to interpret the history of the North West Company [fur trade] history, circa 179and will also help protect sensitive cultural and natural resources at the stockade area.

rand will also their blotted sensitive cultural and natural resources at the suckade area. issistors will be provided with year-round visitor services, including heated and adequately sized restrooms, in an easy-to-find, handicapped-accessible facility, is opposed to the present summer-months-only visitor services and small, unheated restrooms. All operations staff will be on-site in the new facility, reducing ransportation expenses and increasing staff productivity by eliminating the present split in operations between the monument and a leased headquarters acility 36 miles away. Construction of the center will also honor a longstanding commitment to provide visitor services such as this center in exchange for the pproximately 350 acres of land that the Grand Portage Band of Ojibwe donated to help create the Monument. The Band is an active partner in management f the Monument through an Indian Self-Governance Act Agreement and has participated fully in preparation of the recent GMP and environmental ssessment, and in planning for the heritage center.

The programs planned for the heritage center will provide visitors the "historic" story of the fur trade and Ojibwa heritage and allow the Band to expose visitors o a vibrant, contemporary Ojibwa culture. The location of the monument and heritage center at one end of a national scenic byway and the "North Shore" of ake Superior, a destination vacation area for the Upper Midwest, will also provide the opportunity to dramatically increase visitation to more than 175,000. inually and increase employment opportunities for Grand Portage Band members – a goal stipulated in PL 85-910.

Ranking Categories: Identify the percent of the project that is in the following categories of need.

- 15 % Critical Health or Safety Deferred
- 40 % Critical Mission Deferred Maintenance
- 10 % Critical Health or Safety Capital Improvement
- 0 % Compliance & Other Deferred Maintenance
- 20 % Critical Resource Protection Deferred Maintenance 15 % Critical Resource Protection Capital Improvement
- 0 % Other Capital Improvement

Capital Asset Planning 300B Analysis Required: YES: NO: Project Costs and Status

1 Tojout Goots and Gt	utuo							
Project Cost	\$'s	%		Project Funding History:				
Estimate:		** *** 75*	7.5	A	•	007.000		
Deferred Maintenance		\$3,297,750	/5	Appropriated to Date:	\$	397,000		
Work:								
Capital Improvement		\$1,099,250	25	Requested in FY 2006 Budget:	\$	4,000,000		
Work:								
Total Component		\$4,397,000	100	Required to Complete Project:	\$	0		
Estimate:								
Class of Estimate:	В			Project Total:	\$	4,397,000		
Estimate Good Until:	09/30/06							
Dates:	Sch'd (qtr/fy)			Project Data Sheet			Unchange	ed Since
Construction Start/Awa	ard 2/2006			Prepared/Last Updated: 1/20/200)5		Departme	ental Approva
Project Complete:	1/2007						YES:	NO: X

Annual Operations Costs

Current:	Projected:	Net	
\$ 40,000	\$ 25,000	Change: \$;
		(15,000)	